

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/788,443      | 02/21/2001                           | Yoshiyuki Suda       | 018842.1163             | 5233             |
| 24735           | 7590 07/22/2002                      |                      |                         |                  |
| BAKER BOTTS LLP |                                      |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|                 | ECTUAL PROPERTY D<br>ER, SUITE 1300  | DONOVAN, LINCOLN D   |                         |                  |
|                 | YLVANIA AVE, NW<br>ON, DC 20004-2400 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                 |                                      |                      | 2832                    |                  |
|                 |                                      |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/22/2002 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Me

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/788,443

App.\_\_int(s)

Suda

Examiner

Lincoln Donovan

Art Unit **2832** 



|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Period 1  | or Reply   |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  |  |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. |  |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| - If the p<br>- If NO p<br>- Failure<br>- Any re  | beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nd will expire SIX (6)<br>e application to becon | MONTHS fr<br>ne ABANDO | om the mailing date of this communication.<br>NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 1) 🗶  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 14, 2  | 2002   |                        | ·  |  |  |  |
| 2a) 💢   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) $\square$ This act   | ion is non-final.                                | ,                      |  |  |  |  |
| 3) 🗆  | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.   |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| Disposi   | tion of Claims   |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 4) 💢  | Claim(s) <u>1-19</u>   |  |                        | is/are pending in the application.                                   |  |  |  |
| 4   | a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>3 and 5-19</u>  |  |                        | is/are withdrawn from consideration.                                 |  |  |  |
| 5) 🗆  | Claim(s)   |  |                        | is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |
| 6) 💢  | Claim(s) 1, 2, and 4   |  |                        | is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |
| 7) 🗌  | Claim(s)   |  |                        | is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |
| 8) 🗌  | Claims   | are  | subject                | to restriction and/or election requirement.                          |  |  |  |
| Applica   | tion Papers  |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 9) 🗌  | The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 10)   | 0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 11)   | 1) $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) $\square$ approved, b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.   |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 13)🗶  | 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| a) 🕽  | a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:  |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
|   | 1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bures  | au (PCT Rule 1                                   | 7.2(a)).               | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                                |  |  |  |
| _   | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the   | •  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  |  |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.              |  |  |                        |  |  |  |  |
| Attachm   |  | priority under .                                 | JU U.S.(               | 5. 33 120 and/or 121.  |  |  |  |
|   | tice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Sur                                 | nmary (PTC             | 9-413) Paper No(s).  |  |  |  |
|   | tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   |  |                        | Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔲 Inf  | ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   | 6) Other:  |                        |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/788,443

Art Unit: 2832

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, applicant should clarify the connector portion projection and its relationship to the surface of the ring member.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by applicant's admitted prior art of figures 1-6 ( AAPA, hereinafter).

AAPA discloses an electromagnet assembly [figures 1-6] comprising:

-a ring member [1] formed of a tubular spool with a pair of annular flanges;

Art Unit: 2832

- a coil member [3];

- a ring case [4] having an annular groove with an open edge of which is in a direction parallel

to its axis in which the coil member is disposed;

- an opening [4a] formed through the ring case adjacent to a closed end surface thereof; and

- a connector [5], connecting an external wire [6a, 6b] to the coil, mounted within and

engaging the opening.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that

the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner

in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 2, as best able to be understood in view of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second

paragraph, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Ishimaru.

AAPA disclose the instant claimed invention except for: the connector being pressed into an

opening of a magnet support member.

Ishimaru discloses a connector being pressing into an opening of a magnet support member.

Application/Control Number: 09/788,443

Art Unit: 2832

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to press the member of AAPA into the opening, as suggested by Ishimaru, for the purpose of

securing the member in place.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 and 4 have been considered but are moot

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS

from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the

date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2832

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is (703) 308-3111.

The fax number for this Group is (703)-872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

LDD

July 18, 2002

PRIMARY: P2100